

1 Camila Cossío (OR Bar No. 191504)  
2 Center for Biological Diversity  
3 P.O. Box 11374  
4 Portland, OR 97211-0374  
5 Phone: 971 717-6402  
6 ccossio@biologicaldiversity.org  
7 Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending

8 Brian Segee (CA Bar No. 200795)  
9 Center for Biological Diversity  
10 226 W. Ojai Ave., Ste. 101-442  
11 Ojai, CA 93023-3278  
12 Phone: 805-750-8852  
13 bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org  
14 Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
18 **TUCSON DIVISION**

19 Center for Biological Diversity,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Brian  
23 Nesvik, in his official capacity as Director  
24 of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; and  
25 Doug Burgum, in his official capacity as  
26 Secretary of the U.S. Department of the  
27 Interior,

28 Defendants.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

29  
30 **COMPLAINT FOR**  
31 **DECLARATORY AND**  
32 **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

33  
34 1. Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity (the “Center”) brings this case  
35 challenging the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s (the “Service”) failure to issue a timely  
36 12-month finding on the Center’s petition to list the yellow-spotted woodland salamander  
37 (*Plethodon pauleyi*), in violation of the Endangered Species Act’s (“ESA” or “Act”)

nondiscretionary, congressionally mandated deadline. The Service's failure to meet the ESA deadline for the yellow-spotted woodland salamander delays lifesaving protections for the salamander, increasing its risk of extinction.

2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit for declaratory and injunctive relief, seeking an Order declaring that the Service violated section 4(b)(3)(B) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(3)(B), by failing to timely issue a 12-month finding for the yellow-spotted woodland salamander and directing the Service to issue the finding by a date certain.

## JURISDICTION

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 16 U.S.C. § 1540(c), (g) (ESA citizen suit provision) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). This Court has authority to issue declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2202; and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

4. Plaintiff provided Defendants with 60-days' notice of their ESA violations, as required by 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(2)(C), by a letter to the Service dated May 5, 2025. Defendants have not remedied the violations set out in the notice letter, and an actual controversy exists between the parties within the meaning of the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

5.       Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Plaintiff resides in this judicial district.

## **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff the Center for Biological Diversity is a national, non-profit conservation organization that works through science, law, and policy to protect imperiled wildlife and their habitat. The Center is incorporated in California and headquartered in Tucson, Arizona, with offices throughout the United States. The Center has more than 101,000 active members throughout the country.

7. The Center brings this action on behalf of its members who derive recreational, educational, scientific, professional, and other benefits from the yellow-spotted woodland salamander and its habitat. Plaintiff's members' interests in protecting and recovering the salamander and its habitat are directly harmed by the Service's failure to issue a timely 12-month finding, delaying critical protections under the ESA that can put the yellow-spotted woodland salamander on a path to recovery.

8. For example, Center member Frank Gebhard is a naturalist, photographer, and documentarian currently filming a documentary about the salamanders of the Appalachians. Mr. Gebhard sees the world through the salamanders who have opened his world to the wonders of ecology. He visited the Bluestone River Gorge in the spring specifically to search for the yellow-spotted woodland salamander and has recently returned to their habitat three times, with two successful observations during which he photographed and filmed the salamander. He has specific plans to return to the salamander's habitat in April 2026 to capture photographs for a field guide that he is co-authoring on the salamanders of the central Appalachians. The Service's failure to protect

1 the salamander harms Mr. Gebhard's professional interest because it increases the  
2 salamander's risk of extinction, making it harder to locate an already elusive species and  
3 impairing Mr. Gebhard's interest in documenting the salamander. The Service's delay  
4 also harm his aesthetic, moral, recreational, and spiritual interests. Mr. Gebhard's life  
5 revolves around salamanders, and the yellow-spotted woodland salamander is his favorite  
6 species. He spends much of his time preparing for the difficult hikes to reach the yellow-  
7 spotted woodland salamander's habitat, and he educates the public about the salamander  
8 through his photography and videography.

9. Center member Brady O'Brien is a wildlife enthusiast, naturalist, and  
10 photographer specializing in Appalachian salamanders. He has spent significant time  
11 recreating and exploring in the yellow-spotted woodland salamander's habitat including  
12 near Pipestem Resort State Park and he intends to return in spring 2026 and winter 2026  
13 to search for the salamander and recreate in its habitat. Mr. O'Brien's professional and  
14 aesthetic interests in the yellow-spotted woodland salamanders are harmed by the  
15 Service's delay because as the salamander becomes further imperiled and harder to  
16 locate, Mr. O'Brien's ability to photograph them is thwarted. This harms his professional  
17 pursuits and his mission to educate others about Appalachian biodiversity. His wildlife  
18 photography is largely driven by his faith, and therefore, his interest in protecting God's  
19 creatures is harmed by the Service's delay in protecting the salamander. As an avid  
20 naturalist and educator, the loss of this species will also harm his interests in recreating  
21 and spending time in the salamander's habitat.

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           10. Defendants' violation of the ESA's deadline has delayed ESA protections  
2 for the yellow-spotted woodland salamander. This inaction harms Plaintiff's members'  
3 interests in the salamander by permitting the species' continued trajectory toward  
4 extinction, thereby decreasing the likelihood that the Center's members will encounter  
5 the species as part of their personal and professional excursions. These injuries are actual,  
6 concrete injuries presently suffered by Plaintiff's members, are directly caused by  
7 Defendants' acts and omissions, and will continue unless the Court grants relief. The  
8 relief sought would redress these injuries by providing ESA protection for the yellow-  
9 spotted woodland salamander, thus promoting its conservation and recovery. Plaintiff and  
10 its members have no other adequate remedy at law.

11           11. Defendant U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is the agency within the  
12 Department of the Interior charged with implementing the ESA for the yellow-spotted  
13 woodland salamander. The Secretary of the Interior has delegated administration of the  
14 ESA to the Service. 50 C.F.R. § 402.01(b).

15           12. Defendant Brian Nesvik is the Director of the Service and is charged with  
16 ensuring that agency decisions comply with the ESA. Defendant Nesvik is sued in his  
17 official capacity.

18           13. Defendant Doug Burgum is the Secretary of the U.S. Department of the  
19 Interior ("Secretary") and has the ultimate responsibility to administer and implement the  
20 provisions of the ESA. Defendant Burgum is sued in his official capacity.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## **STATUTORY FRAMEWORK**

14. The Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531–1544, is “the most comprehensive legislation for the preservation of endangered species ever enacted by any nation.” *Tenn. Valley Auth. v. Hill*, 437 U.S. 153, 180 (1978). Its fundamental purposes are “to provide a means whereby the ecosystems upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved [and] to provide a program for the conservation of such endangered species and threatened species.” 16 U.S.C. § 1531(b).

15. The ESA defines a “species” as “any subspecies of fish or wildlife or plants, and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate fish or wildlife which interbreeds when mature.” *Id.* § 1532(16).

16. A species is “endangered” when it “is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.” *Id.* § 1532(6). A species is “threatened” when it is “likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.” *Id.* § 1532(20).

17. The ESA requires the Service to determine whether any species is endangered or threatened because of any one of, or combination of, the following factors:

(A) the present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; (B) overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes; (C) disease or predation; (D) the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or (E) other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

1           18.    If the Service determines that the species is not endangered throughout all  
2           its range, the ESA requires the agency to examine whether it is endangered or threatened  
3           throughout any “significant portion” of its range. *Id.* §§ 1532(6), (20).

5           19.    The Service must base all listing determinations “solely on the basis of the  
6           best scientific and commercial data available.” *Id.* § 1533(b)(1)(A).

7           20.    To ensure the timely protection of species at risk of extinction, Congress set  
8           forth a detailed process whereby interested persons may petition the Service to list a  
9           species as endangered or threatened. *Id.* § 1533(b)(3). In response, the Service must  
10          publish a series of three decisions according to statutory deadlines. First, within 90 days  
11          of receipt of a listing petition, the Service must publish an initial finding as to whether the  
12          petition, “presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the  
13          petitioned action may be warranted.” *Id.* § 1533(b)(3)(A). If the Service determines that  
14          the petition does not present substantial information indicating that listing may be  
15          warranted, the petition is rejected, and the process concludes.

19          21.    If the Service determines that a petition presents substantial information  
20          indicating that listing “may be warranted,” the agency must publish that finding and  
21          proceed with a scientific review of the species’ status, known as a “status review.” *Id.*

23          22.    Upon completing the status review, and within 12 months of receiving the  
24          petition, the Service must publish a “12-month finding” with one of three listing  
25          determinations: (1) listing is “warranted”; (2) listing is “not warranted”; or (3) listing is  
26  
27  
28

1 “warranted but precluded” by other proposals for listing species, provided certain  
2 circumstances are met. *Id.* § 1533(b)(3)(B).

3  
4 23. If the Service determines that listing is “warranted,” the agency must  
5 publish that finding in the Federal Register along with the text of a proposed regulation to  
6 list the species as endangered or threatened and to designate critical habitat for the  
7 species. *Id.* § 1533(a)(3)(A), (b)(3)(B)(ii). Within one year of publication of the proposed  
8 listing rule, the Service must publish in the Federal Register the final rule implementing  
9 its determination to list the species and designate critical habitat. *Id.* § 1533(b)(6)(A).

10  
11 24. If the Service instead issues a finding that listing the species is “not  
12 warranted,” the process concludes, and that finding is a final agency action subject to  
13 judicial review. *Id.* § 1533(b)(3)(C)(ii).

14  
15 25. The ESA has a suite of substantive and procedural legal protections that  
16 apply to species once they are listed as endangered or threatened. For example, section  
17 4(a)(3) of the Act requires the Service to designate “critical habitat” for each endangered  
18 and threatened species. *Id.* § 1533(a)(3).

19  
20 26. In addition, ESA section 7(a)(2) requires all federal agencies to ensure that  
21 their actions do not “jeopardize the continued existence” of any endangered or threatened  
22 species or “result in the destruction or adverse modification” of any listed species’ critical  
23 habitat. *Id.* § 1536(a)(2).

24  
25 27. ESA section 9 prohibits, among other actions, “any person” from causing  
26 the “take” of any protected fish or wildlife without lawful authorization from the Service.

1 *Id.* §§ 1538(a)(1)(B), 1539; *see also id.* § 1532(19) (defining “take”). Other provisions  
2 require the Service to “develop and implement” recovery plans for listed species, *id.* §  
3 1533(f); authorize the Service to acquire land for the protection of listed species, *id.* §  
4 1534; and authorize the Service to make federal funds available to states to assist in the  
5 conservation of endangered and threatened species, *id.* § 1535(d).

6

7 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

8



Photo Courtesy: Frank Gebhard

23

24 28. The yellow-spotted woodland salamander (*Plethodon pauleyi*), pictured  
25 above, is named after its unique appearance; its back is marked with two rows of yellow  
26 spots. The salamander is one of the most endangered salamanders on the planet, with  
27

1 only 65 individuals observed in the past twenty years. And there are likely only a few  
2 hundred salamanders left, all threatened by coal mining.  
3

4 29. The salamander is a microhabitat specialist occurring only in West  
5 Virginia, Virginia, Kentucky, and Tennessee in shale and sandstone rocks, making it  
6 particularly vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation from mining because these areas  
7 are targeted by mountain top removal mining, which uses explosives that blast apart  
8 mountains to access coal seams. For example, mountain top removal has destroyed more  
9 than 500 mountains and 1.4 million acres of forests in Appalachia.  
10

11 30. Specifically, the yellow-spotted woodland salamander occurs on 21 isolated  
12 rock outcrops in central Appalachia targeted by industrial mining.  
13

14 31. Two known *P. pauleyi* occurrences were wiped out by mining, and many  
15 others have likely been obliterated. A new mountaintop removal mining operation on  
16 1,085 acres in Raleigh County, West Virginia was recently permitted near one of the  
17 remaining sites where the yellow-spotted woodland salamander is found. And three new  
18 surface mining permits were issued this year in Letcher County, Ky., near four *P. pauleyi*  
19 populations, and seven new mining permits were issued in Harlan County, Ky., near  
20 another *P. pauleyi* population.  
21

22 32. The salamander has already lost significant portions of its range to mining,  
23 and it will continue to face destruction, modification, and curtailment of its range.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

33. Other threats include overutilization, disease, predation, invasive species, pollution, and impacts from climate change. Its vulnerability is compounded by its low dispersal.

## **Listing Petition and Response**

34. The Center and partners petitioned the Service to list the yellow-spotted woodland salamander on August 24, 2022. The Center’s petition documented threats to the salamander, primarily from coal mining and the impacts of the industry (mountain top removal blasts destroy the salamanders’ habitat), as well as threats from clear-cut logging, roads, poaching for the wildlife trade, disease, climate change, invasive species, harm to its habitat from pollution, and a lack of adequate regulatory mechanisms. The Center’s petition also underscored the species’ inherent vulnerability given its small, isolated population.

35. The Service found that the yellow-spotted woodland salamander may warrant listing on January 25, 2024. 89 Fed. Reg. 4884 (Jan. 25, 2024). Specifically, the Service found the Center’s petition presented substantial information that indicated listing may be warranted due to threats from mining operations, land clearing, climate change, collection, predation, disease, invasive species, pollution, and recreation. *Id.* at 4889. Because the Service found that the petition may be warranted in its 90-day finding, its 12-month finding was due one year after receipt of the Center’s petition. The deadline for publication of the 12-month finding was August 24, 2023, but the Service has not yet

1 made a 12-month finding for the yellow-spotted woodland salamander. The finding is  
2 thus past due.  
3

4 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 **Violation of the ESA for Failure to Publish a Timely 12-Month Finding for the**  
6 **yellow-spotted woodland salamander**

7 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all allegations set forth in the preceding  
8 paragraphs.  
9

10 37. The ESA requires the Service to publish a 12-month finding within 12-  
11 months of receiving a petition to list a species under the Act when the Service has made a  
12 positive 90-day finding that listing may be warranted. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(3)(B).  
13

14 38. In response to the Center's 2022 petition to list the yellow-spotted  
15 woodland salamander as endangered under the ESA, the Service issued a positive 90-day  
16 finding for the salamander in 2024, and thus its 12-month finding was due 12 months  
17 after the petition was submitted—August 24, 2023.  
18

19 39. Defendants have not made the statutorily required 12-month finding for the  
20 yellow-spotted woodland salamander.  
21

22 40. Defendants failed to perform their nondiscretionary duty to timely publish a  
23 12-month finding in violation of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(3)(B).  
24

25 **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**  
26

27 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment  
28 providing the following relief:  
29

1. Declare that Defendants violated the ESA by failing to issue a timely 12-month finding in response to the Center's petition to list the yellow-spotted woodland salamander as an endangered species under the ESA;
2. Provide injunctive relief compelling Defendants to issue the 12-month finding by a date certain;
3. Retain continuing jurisdiction to review Defendants' compliance with all judgments and orders herein;
4. Grant Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(4); and
5. Provide such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted and dated this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January 2026.

s/ Camila Cossío  
Camila Cossío (OR Bar No. 191504)  
Center for Biological Diversity  
P.O. Box 11374  
Portland, OR 97211-0374  
Phone: 971 717-6402  
[ccossio@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:ccossio@biologicaldiversity.org)  
*Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending*

Brian Segee (CA Bar No. 200795)  
Center for Biological Diversity  
226 W. Ojai Ave., Ste. 101-442  
Ojai, CA 93023-3278  
Phone: 805-750-8852  
[bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org](mailto:bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org)  
*Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending*

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*