Ryan Broderick, Director California Department of Fish and Game 1416 Ninth Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

## RE: Improving efficiency of California's fish hatchery system

#### Dear Director Broderick:

On behalf of the Pacific Rivers Council and Center for Biological Diversity, we are writing to express our concerns about the state's fish hatchery and stocking system and to recommend needed changes that will ensure that the system does not negatively impact California's native biological diversity. This letter is an update to our letter of August 31, 2005. With this letter, we are enclosing many of the scientific studies we relied on in developing this letter.

Fish hatcheries and the stocking of fish into lakes and streams cause numerous measurable, significant environmental effects on California ecosystems. Based on these impacts, numerous policy changes are needed to ensure that the Department of Fish and Game's ("DFG") operation of the state's hatchery and stocking program do not adversely affect California's environment. Further, as currently operated, the state's hatchery and stocking program do not comply with the California Environmental Quality Act, Administrative Procedures Act, California Endangered Species Act, and federal Endangered Species Act.

The impacts to California's environment, and needed policy changes to bring the state's hatchery and stocking program into compliance with applicable state and federal laws, are described below.

# I. FISH STOCKING NEGATIVELY IMPACTS CALIFORNIA'S NATIVE SALMONIDS, INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

Introduced salmonids negatively impact native salmonids in a variety of ways. Moyle, *et. al.* (1996) notes that "Introduction of non-native fish species has also been the single biggest factor associated with fish declines in the Sierra Nevada." Moyle also notes that introduced species are contributing to the decline of 18 species of native Sierra Nevada fish species, and are a major factor in the decline of eight of those species. Moyle adds that with the exception of two species, "the native trout of the Sierra Nevada

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 2 of 24

have declined in the face of competition, predation, and hybridization from non-native trout."

Among the most important impacts of fish introduction on wild California fish species are:

• Hatchery-raised salmonids hybridize with native salmonids, thus weakening the genetic stock of native fish. Hatchery-raised salmonids are inferior to wild salmonids in a variety of ways, including demonstrating lower fitness, reduced ability to respond to environmental changes, and decreased breeding success than their wild counterparts. (See Fleming and Gross 1992; Ford 2002; Gale et. al. 2004; Green 1964; Horak 1972; Lynch and O' Hely 2001; Miller et. al. 1959; Miller et. al. 2004; McLean et. al. 2005;

When introduced into water bodies that contain wild fish, hatchery-raised salmonids hybridize with wild salmonids, thus reducing the overall genetic fitness of the wild fish. The resulting hybrid is less adapted to its environment, less able to survive environmental changes, and more susceptible to disease, predation, and other environmental factors that genetically pure wild fish. (*See* Allendorf *et. al.* 2001; Allendorf *et. al.* 2004; Docker *et. al.* 2001; Hayes *et. al.* 1996; Hayes *et. al.* 2004; Hitt *et. al.* 2003; Krueger and May 1991; Levin and Williams 2002; Leary *et. al.* 2003; Lynch and O' Hely 2001; Meffe 2002; Wang and Ryman 2001; Witty and Cramer 2001).

- Introduced fish compete with native fish for food and holding, spawning and rearing habitat. This competition significantly impacts native fish populations (*See* Bohlin *et. al.* 2002; Clark and Rose 1997; Cunjak and Green 1984; Cunjak and Green 1986; De Staso and Rahel 1994; Dewald and Wilzbach 1992; Einum and Fleming 2001; Fausch and White 1981; Fausch and White 1986; Fausch 1988; Flick and Webster 1992; Garcia-Marin *et. al.* 1998; Glova 1986; Griffith 1988; Harvey and Nakamoto 1996; Hearn 1987; Herbold and Moyle 1986; Kruse 1998; Larson and Moore 1985; Lohr and West 1992; Magnan 1988; Magoulick 1994; Magoulick and Wilzbach 1998; Marchand and Boisclair 1998; McMichael *et. al.* 2000; Nagel 1991; Nakano *et. al.* 1998; Rodriguez 1995; Rose 1986; Ross 1991; Schroeter 1998; Strach and Bjornn 1989; Strange and Habera 1998; Taylor *et. al.* 1984; Thomas 1996; Waters 1983; Weiss and Schmutz 2003).
- <u>Introduced fish can introduce diseases to wild salmonids.</u> The spread of whirling disease is only one example of the potentially disastrous impacts of introducing hatchery-raised fish into the wild. Whirling disease was first found in a California hatchery in 1965 and has since spread to two state hatcheries and three private hatcheries. Dispersal of hatchery-raised fish is a major factor in the spread of the whirling disease parasite to at least 15 watersheds across the state. (*See* Modin 1998). While it has not yet severely impacted California wild trout populations,

whirling disease has led to devastating results in other regions. Colorado faced a devastating outbreak that severely damaged biological resources. California hatcheries have already been forced to destroy over 165 million tons of hatchery-raised fish to prevent the disease from spreading.

California hatcheries continue to be plagued with disease and Moyle and Morford (1991) suggest that diseases from hatchery-raised fish are probable causes of endangerment of coho salmon and summer steelhead.

Among the imperiled species in California that have been affected by fish stocking are:

- Lahontan cutthroat trout—The Lahontan cutthroat trout is a federally threatened species. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service recognizes the introduction of non-native fish species as a major impact to Lahontan cutthroat trout habitat and abundance. USFWS 1995.
- **Paiute cutthroat trout**—The Paiute cutthroat trout is a federally threatened species. Early introductions of rainbow trout and Lahontan cutthroat trout is a primary factor that led to the endangerment of the Paiute cutthroat trout. USFWS 2004.
- **Little Kern golden trout**—The Little Kern golden trout is a federally threatened species and the California state fish. Past introduction of rainbow trout into the Little Kern River system is a factor leading to the endangerment of the Little Kern golden trout. USFWS 1978.
- Coho salmon—Coho salmon are a state and federally threatened species. DFG's recovery plan for the California coho identifies impacts from hatchery-introduced salmonids, including introduction of disease and reduction, reduction of productivity, and lowering of genetic diversity, as factors leading to the endangerment of coho salmon in California. DFG 2004. Disease from hatchery fish may also be a factor in the coho salmon's decline. Moyle and Morford 1991.
- **Summer steelhead**—Moyle and Morford (1991) point to disease from hatchery fish as a probable cause of the catastrophic decline of summer steelhead in California.
- Chinook salmon—PWA (1994) describes numerous potential impacts to fall and spring run Chinook salmon in the South Fork Trinity watershed. These impacts include disease, reduced fitness, competition, and weakening of the genetic stock through hybridization. Low returns of wild salmon in the Klamath River have been attributed to increased stocking levels from the Iron Gate Hatchery. PFMC 1994.

## II. FISH STOCKING NEGATIVELY IMPACTS CALIFORNIA'S NATIVE FAUNA. INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

### A. Direct effects of fish introduction on native fauna

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 4 of 24

Introduced fish impact native amphibians, invertebrates, and overall community dynamics, such as nutrient cycling and algal production (Bradford 1989, Knapp and Matthews 2000, Knapp et al. 2001, Matthews et al. 2001, Pilliod and Peterson 2001, Schindler et al. 2001, Kats et al. 2003, Knapp 2005, Welsh et al. 2006. Most recently, Welsh et al. (2006) found that Pacific treefrog (*Pseudacris regilla*) Cascades frog (*Rana cascadae*, and long-toed salamander (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*) were "strongly negatively correlated with trout presence" in three formerly fishless wilderness areas and concluded: "Our results are consistent with a compelling body of evidence that introduced fishes greatly alter the aquatic community structure of mountain lakes, ponds, and wet meadows."

Non-native trout prey upon amphibian tadpoles, leading to severe declines in populations. Non-native fish also limit amphibian dispersal, thus isolating remaining populations. The introduction of fish into historically fishless waters has led to severe declines in amphibian populations across the state.

Knapp (2005) found strong evidence that introduced trout have "profoundly altered the distribution of two of the four native aquatic-breeding amphibians and both of the widely distributed garter snake species" in Yosemite National Park. Fisher and Shaffer (1996) suggest that introduced predators (which include fish and bullfrogs) are the primary threat to amphibians in the Central Valley. Drost and Fellers (1996) implicate fish stocking as a primary cause of the collapse of the regional frog fauna in Yosemite National Park. Jennings (1996) points to fish introduction as a primary factor in the decline of Sierra Nevada amphibians, 43% of which are extinct or threatened with extinction. Fish introductions are a significant factor leading to the endangerment of two federally protected species, the mountain yellow-legged frog, and California red-legged frog. Leyse documents the effects of fish introductions on an array of native amphibians.

Fish introduction has been specifically implicated in the decline of the following California species:

- Mountain yellow-legged frog. The southern California distinct population of Mountain yellow-legged frog is listed as endangered (Federal Register: July 2, 2002, Vol. 67, No. 127) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service determined that the Sierra Nevada distinct population warranted protection as a threatened or endangered species, but that such protection is precluded by other listing actions (Federal Register: January 16, 2003, Vol. 68, No. 11). Introduction of non-native fish is documented to be a primary factor in the decline of this frog (See Bradford 1989; Bradford et. al. 1993; Bradford et. al. 1998; Drost and Fellers 1996; Jennings 1996; Knapp, et. al. 2005; Knapp et. al. 2001; Knapp and Matthews 2000; Knapp 1996).
- California red-legged frog. California red-legged frogs are listed as threatened under the federal Endangered Species Act. Introduced fish are negatively

affecting California re-legged frogs throughout much of its range in California. (*See* Drost and Fellers 1996; Fisher and Shaffer 1996; Graber 1996; Kiesecker and Blaustein 1998).

- Cascades frog. Welsh et al. (2006) documented that Cascades Frogs were three times more likely to be found in lakes without fish than lakes with fish and Fellers and Drost (1993) noted a precipitous decline in Cascades frogs throughout the southern portion of its range, and cited the presence of non-native, predatory fish as a factor in this decline.
- Long-toed salamanders. Welsh et al. (2006) documented that Long-toed salamanders were 44 times more likely to be found in lakes without fish than lakes with fish and Fellers and Drost (1993) noted a decline in thie salamander.
- **Pacific treefrog**. (*See* Drost and Fellers 1996; Matthews *et. al.* 2001 Knapp 2005; Welsh et al. 2006).
- Yosemite toad. (See Drost and Fellers 1996).
- **Foothill yellow-legged frog**. (See Drost and Fellers 1996).
- **Arroyo Toad.** (See Federal Register, Vol. 59, No. 241, December 16, 1994: Determination of Endangered Status for the Arroyo Southwestern Toad).

#### B. Indirect effects of fish introduction on native fauna

Introduced trout can spread disease to native fauna, thus causing further impacts. Blaustein, *et. al.* (1994) found that pathogens, such as *Saprolegnia*, which are introduced by hatchery trout into native ecosystems may be an important factor in the demise of native amphibians. These findings were confirmed by Kiesecker, *et. al.* (2001), who notes that western toad populations have suffered mass-mortality due to disease outbreaks, which may be associated with *Saprolegnia* from introduced trout.

Matthews *et. al.* (2002) found that amphibian declines caused by trout introductions have diminished mountain garter snake populations within the Sierra Nevada. These findings were confirmed by Knapp (2005), who found that by limiting the amphibian prey base of two species of Sierra Nevada garter snakes, trout introductions were negatively impacting these species.

### III. CURRENT STOCKING IS IMPACTING NATIVE FISH AND AMPHIBIANS

DFG has never conducted an analysis to determine whether current stocking areas contain sensitive fish and amphibians and thus according to multiple public records act requests (PRA) have no idea to what extent current stocking is harming California's natural heritage. To begin to remedy this situation, we obtained a list of all waters stocked by DFG in 2005 through a PRA.

Current stocking of non-native fish is occurring in areas where sensitive fish and amphibians are occurring. Documents from DFG indicate 805 waters were stocked with fish in 2005. Data provided by DFG was limited, failing to provide any spatial

coordinates for stocked waters. To obtain spatial coordinates for the water bodies, we compared DFG's list with a coverage of all California water bodies and based on county and name of the water, we were able to identify 569 (71%) of the stocked waters. To identify waters where stocking was potentially impacting native fish and amphibians, we compared these waters with the distribution of species in the California Natural Diversity Database and identified 91 waters with records of rare and sensitive fish and amphibians either in or near (within 100 m) the water, including 40 species. Several of the species we identified as co-occurring with stocking are federally recognized as threatened or endangered, including Arroyo Toad, California Red-legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Chinook Salmon, Delta Smelt, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout, Lost River Sucker, Mountain Yellow-legged Frog, Santa Ana Sucker, Steelhead Trout, Unarmored Threespine Stickleback and Yosemite Toad. Many of the others are known species of concern, such as Foothill Yellow-legged Frog, Klamath Large-scale Sucker, Santa Ana Specled Dace and Sacramento Splittail (See Appendix 1). These data clearly indicate current stocking by DFG is impacting sensitive species over and above the catastrophic impacts of past-introductions of non-native fish from the stocking program.

## IV. FISH STOCKING CAUSES SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS ON CALIFORNIA ECOSYSTEMS

Introducing new species into native ecosystems has important effects on aquatic ecosystems. Fish stocking has been shown to reduce or eliminate native zooplankton communities. (See Bradford et. al. 1994; Bradford et. al. 1998; Carpenter, et. al. 1985; Carpenter et. al. 1987; Goldman, et. al. 1979; Knapp 1996; Richards et. al. 1975; Stoddard 1987). At least one species, the phantom midge, may have been extirpated from the Sierra Nevada by introduced trout. (See Knapp 1996; Stoddard 1987). Introduced fish can also have negative effects on macroinvertebrates including mayflies, beetles, caddisflies, and other insects. (See Knapp 1996). These organisms are critical to maintaining healthy, functioning ecosystems and their removal negatively effects ecosystem processes and productivity. (See Brett et. al. 1994; Elser et. al. 1995; Knapp 1996).

Matthews *et. al.* (2002) found that introduced trout can have "serious effects" on predator populations with whom they compete for prey, and that "fish introductions are further disrupting the high-elevation ecosystems of the Sierra Nevada by also affecting amphibian predators."

After surveying Sierra Nevada lakes, Bradford *et. al.* (1994) concluded that "the most profound human impacts on aquatic communities in the High Sierra appear to be related to historical and on-going stocking of exotic fish species into High Sierra waters."

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DFG also failed to specify what species of fish were stocked.

## V. FISH STOCKING HAS ADDITIONAL EFFECTS ON CALIFORNIA'S ENVIRONMENT

To reverse the negative impacts of stocking of non-native trout, DFG is increasingly forced to use piscicides, such as rotenone and antimycin. Although we support restoring populations of native trout and other species through trout removal, including use of piscicides where necessary, such action is not without impacts to the environment, resulting in at least short-term impacts to macro-invertebrate, amphibian and native fish populations, and is costly and not always effective to implement.

# VI. DFG'S OPERATION OF THE STATE'S HATCHERY AND FISH STOCKING PROGRAM DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) was established to ensure that actions by public agencies do not adversely effect California's environment. The provisions of CEQA apply to any discretionary actions carried out by public agencies unless those actions are specifically exempted from the law's provisions. P.R.C. §21080(a). For any actions that are not exempt, where there is "substantial evidence" that the action "may have a significant effect on the environment." P.R.C. §21080(d). Substantial evidence "includes fact, a reasonable assumption predicated upon fact, or expert opinion supported by fact." P.R.C. §21080(e)(1).

Section 21084 of CEQA requires the development of "a list of classes of projects which have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment." P.R.C. §21084(a). These classes are exempt from the provisions of CEQA. *Id.* The Secretary of Resources must find that the listed classes do not have a significant effect on the environment. *Id.* The Secretary of Resources must certify and adopt the guidelines every two years. P.R.C. §21083(f).

The guidelines for implementing CEQA ("CEQA guidelines") provide an exemption for the operation and repair of existing facilities. C.C.R. §15301. Fish stocking is identified as an example of such an activity. C.C.R. §15301(j).

However, the guidelines also make specific exceptions to the classes of activities that are exempt from CEQA. C.C.R. §15300.2. If an activity meets the criteria of the §15300.2 exceptions, that activity no longer qualifies for a CEQA exemption. Under section 15300.2, "All exemptions for these classes are inapplicable when the cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time is significant." C.C.R. §15300.2(b). Further, "A categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances." C.C.R. §15300.2(c) (emphasis added).

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 8 of 24

"Significant effects" have been defined by statute and case law. The CEQA guidelines defines a significant effect as a "substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance." C.C.R. §15382. *Mountain Lion Foundation v. Fish and Game Commission*, 16 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 105 (1997); *Wildlife Alive v. Chickering*, 18 Cal.3d 190 (1976). Projects that may negatively impact imperiled species are defined as having significant effects under the CEQA guidelines. C.C.R. §15065.

As described above, fish stocking has numerous effects on the California environment. Fish stocking has, and continues to effect, California's imperiled species of fish and wildlife. Fish stocking has cumulative effects on native fish and fauna. There are numerous instances where unusual circumstances, such as the presence of imperiled amphibians, native fish, or sensitive, formerly fishless ecosystems create a reasonable possibility that fish introduction will create significant impacts on the environment. And there are numerous, well-documented cases where fish introduction has already caused significant effects on California's environment.

Despite this overwhelming evidence, DFG has never complied with CEQA's requirements that public agencies analyze the effects of their actions, and mitigate any actions that may adversely effect California's environment. This failure places DFG's fish stocking program squarely in violation of CEQA.

# VII. DFG'S OPERATION OF THE STATE'S HATCHERY AND FISH STOCKING PROGRAM VIOLATES OTHER STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS

## A. The hatchery and fish stocking program violates the California Administrative Procedures Act

The California Administrative Procedures Act (APA) specifies that "No state agency shall issue utilize, enforce, or attempt to enforce any guideline, criterion, bulletin, manual, instruction, order, standard of general application, or other rule, which is a regulation..." that has not been adopted as a regulation and filed with the Secretary of State. C.G.C. §11340.5. The APA defines regulation as "every rule, regulation, order, or standard of general application or the amendment, supplement or revision of any rule, regulation, order, or standard adopted by any state agency to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by it, or to govern its procedure." C.G.C. §11342.600.

Despite this clear prohibition, and despite the issuance of numerous policy directives and guidelines, DFG has not completed the required rulemaking to guide its fish hatchery and stocking program.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 9 of 24

In 2003, DFG developed its Strategic Plan for Trout Management. This plan aims to "identify key issues relative to trout resources and fisheries, and to develop goals and strategies that will address these issues during the next 10 to 15 years." DFG 2003. The plan describes 32 strategies relating to DFG's fish stocking program. *Id.* The plan further recommends that DFG update its existing guidelines for the use of hatchery trout by fisheries managers. *Id.* Neither the strategic plan, nor the existing guidelines referenced by the plan have been legally adopted as a regulation, as required by the CAPA.

## B. The hatchery and fish stocking program violates the California Endangered Species Act

Northern and central California coho salmon, and winter and spring run Chinook salmon are protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). DFG 2005. The Joint Hatchery Review Committee found that DFG anadromous hatcheries directly or incidentally take salmonids that are protected under both the federal and state endangered species acts. DFG/NFMS 2001. In addition, there is evidence that fish introduction is negatively affecting salamanders that are protected under the California Endangered Species Act.

## C. The hatchery and fish stocking program violates the federal Endangered Species Act

The federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) prohibits "take" of any endangered species within the United States. 16 U.S.C. §1538(a)(1)(B). Under the ESA, "take" means to "harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct." 16 U.S.C. §1532(19). Section 4(d) of the ESA allows the Secretary of Interior to extend similar protections to threatened species. 16 U.S.C. §1533(d).

As described above, fish introduction has numerous, well-documented adverse effects on several of California's threatened and endangered species. Under the ESA, continued stocking in waters where such stocking has been shown to negatively impact protected species is considered a "take" of those species. Despite this, the DFG continues to stock fish into waters that contain, or may contain, protected species of fish and amphibians. Further, the DFG has not received an incidental take permit to carry out such activities. Thus DFG's hatchery and stocking program continues to "take" federally protected species.

The Joint Hatchery Review Committee, which includes DFG and the National Marine Fisheries Service, concluded in 2001 that "Most DFG anadromous hatcheries directly or incidentally "take" salmonids that are listed under the ESA." DFG/NMFS 2001. The review recommends that the DFG implement numerous policy changes and recommends interim guidelines until new hatchery management plans can be developed.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 10 of 24

It is not clear that DFG has either adopted the interim regulations or implemented the policies recommended by the report.

# VIII. POLICY CHANGES ARE NEEDED TO BRING THE STATE HATCHERY AND FISH STOCKING PROGRAM INTO COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS

As described above, DFG's operation of the state's hatchery and fish stocking program is causing significant effects to California's environment, and does not comply with state or federal environmental laws. In order to bring the program into compliance with these laws, and to minimize the effects on California's environment, we recommend that DFG immediate undertake the following actions and policy changes:

- 1. Complete an environmental impact report, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act, on the state's hatchery and fish stocking program. This document should describe the environmental affects of the program, detail possible policy options, and develop mitigation measures, as needed. The document should be completed as soon as possible.
- 2. Immediately cease all hatchery and stocking operations that negatively affect California's sensitive species, state-listed and federally recognized threatened or endangered species.
- 3. Place an immediate moratorium, pending the results of the CEQA analysis, on all hatchery and stocking operations that have been shown, or have the potential, to have significant effects on California's environment. These effects include impacts to native fauna, and ecosystems.
- 4. Immediately cease introducing fish into naturally fishless waters, wilderness areas, and other areas of high biological importance.
- 5. Immediately cease introducing fish into waters that contain valuable strains of native, wild fish.

### IX. CONSLUSION

Historic and present fish introduction has contributed to the decline of many of California's native aquatic species – a downward trend that continues to this day. Despite the growing body of scientific literature on this topic, the Department continues to manage fish and wildlife resources without considering the broader ecosystem context in which that management takes place. Until the Department embraces a more holistic ecosystem management approach, conflict will continue. The Department is in the unique position to spearhead a new, ecosystem-based approach to fish and wildlife

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 11 of 24

management; a good place to start is by reforming its fish hatchery and stocking practices for the benefits of California's native species, streams and rivers, and ecosystems.

Based on these important issues, we believe that the significant effects of California's fish hatchery and stocking program warrants serious consideration and revision.

If you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact Paul Spitler or Debbie Sivas at (650)-725-8571, Noah Greenwald at (503)-484-7495. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Deborah Sivas Director Paul Spitler Legal intern Noah Greenwald Conservation Biologist

### **References cited**

Allendorf, F.W., R.F. Leary, P. Spruell, and J.K. Wenburg. 2001. The problems with hybrids: setting conservation guidelines. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16: 613-622.

Allendorf, F.W., *et. al.* 2004. Intercrosses and the U.S. Endangered Species Act: should hybridized populations be included as westslope cutthroat trout? *Conservation Biology* 18: 1203-1213.

Armstrong, T.W., and R.A. Knapp. 2004. Response by trout populations in alpine lakes to an experimental halt to stocking. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 61: 2025-2037.

Blaustein, A.R., D.G. Hokit, R.K. Ohara, and R.A. Holt. 1994. Pathogenic fungus contributes to amphibian losses in the Pacific Northwest. *Biological Conservation* 67: 251-254.

Bohlin, T., L.F. Sundstrom, J.I. Johnsson, J. Hojesjo and J. Pettersson. 2002. Density-dependent growth in brown trout: effects of introducing wild and hatchery fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71: 683-692.

Bradford, D.F. 1989. Allotropic distribution of native frogs and introduced fishes in high Sierra Nevada lakes of California: implication of the negative effect of fish introductions. *Copeia* 1989: 775-778.

Bradford, D.F., F. Tabatabai, and D.M. Graber. 1993. Isolation of remaining populations of the native frog, *Rana muscosa*, by introduced fishes in Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, California. *Conservation Biology* 7: 882-888.

Bradford, D.F., S.D. Cooper, and A.D. Brown. 1994. Distribution of aquatic animals relative to naturally acidic waters in the Sierra Nevada. Final Report, Contract No. A1323-192. California Air Resources Board. Sacramento.

Bradford, D.F., S.D. Cooper, T.M. Jenkins, K. Kratz, O. Sarnelle, and A.D. Brown. 1998. Influences of natural acidity and introduced fish on faunal assemblages in California alpine lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55: 2478-2491.

Brett, M.T., *et. al.* 1994. Species-dependent effects of zooplankton on planktonic ecosystems processes in Castle Lake, California. *Ecology* 75: 2243-2254.

Carpenter, S.R., J.F. Kitchell, and J.R. Hodgson. 1985. Cascading trophic interactions and lake productivity. *Bioscience* 35: 634-639.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 13 of 24

Carpenter, S.R., J.F. Kitchell, and J.R. Hodgson. 1987. Regulation of lake primary productivity by food web structure. *Ecology* 68: 1863-1876.

Clark, M.E., and K.A. Rose. 1997. Factors affecting competitive dominance of rainbow trout over brook trout in southern Appalachian streams: implications of an individual-based model. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126: 1-20.

Cunjak, R.A., and J.M. Green. 1984. Species dominance by brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) in a stimulated stream environment. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 113: 737-743.

De Staso, J. and F.J. Rahel. 1994. Influence of water temperature on interactions between juvenile Colorado River cutthroat trout and brook trout in a laboratory stream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123: 289-297.

Dewald, L., and M.A. Wilzbach. 1992. Interactions between native brook trout and hatchery brown trout: effects on habitat use, feeding, and growth. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 121: 287-296.

DFG/NMFS. 2001. Final report on anadromous salmonid fish hatcheries on California. California Department of Fish and Game and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Sacramento, CA. 35 pp.

DFG 2003. Strategic plan for trout management: a plan for 2004 and beyond. California Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA. 18pp.

DFG 2004. Coho salmon recovery strategy. California Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA. 594 pp.

DFG. 2005. State and federally listed endangered and threatened animals of California. Department of Fish and Game. July 2005. Sacramento, CA.

Docker, M.F., A. Dale, and D.D. Heath. 2003. Erosion of interspecific reproductive barriers resulting from hatchery supplementation of rainbow trout sympatric with cutthroat trout. *Molecular Ecology* 12: 3515-3521.

Drost, C.A., and G.M. Fellers. 1996. Collapse of a regional frog fauna in the Yosemite area of the California Sierra Nevada, USA. *Conservation Biology* 10: 414-425.

Einum, S., and I.A. Fleming. 2001. Implications of stocking: ecological interactions between wild and released salmonids. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research* 75: 56-70.

- Elser, J.J., C. Luecke, M.T. Brett, and S.D. Cooper. 1995. Effects of food web compensation after manipulation of rainbow trout in an oligotrophic lake. *Ecology* 76: 52-69.
- Fausch, K.D., and R.J. White. 1981. Competition between brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) for positions in a Michigan stream. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 38: 1220-1227.
- Fausch, K.D., and R.J. White. 1986. Competition of juveniles of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in a laboratory stream, and implications for Great Lakes tributaries. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 115: 363-381.
- Fausch, K.D. 1988. Tests of competition between native and introduced salmonids in streams: what have we learned? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 45: 2238-2246.
- Fellers, G.M., and C.A. Drost. 1993. Disappearance of the Cascades frog *Rana cascadae* at the southern end of its range, California, USA. *Biological Conservation* 65: 177-181.
- Finlayson, B. et. al. 2005. Native inland trout restoration on national forests in the western United States: time for improvement? *Fisheries* 30: 10-19.
- Fisher, R.N., and H.B. Shaffer. 1996. The decline of amphibians in California's great Central Valley. *Conservation Biology* 10: 1387-1397.
- Fleming, I.A., and M.R. Gross. 1992. Reproductive behavior of hatchery and wild coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*): does it differ? *Aquaculture* 103: 101-121.
- Flick, W.A., and D.A. Webster. 1992. Standing crops of brook trout in Adirondack waters before and after removal of non-trout species. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 12: 783-796.
- Ford, M.J. 2002. Selection in captivity during supportive breeding may reduce fitness in the wild. *Conservation Biology* 16: 815-825.
- Gale, W.L., M.S. Hill, and G.B. Zydlewski. 2004. Physiological and behavioural differences of hatchery and wild-reared steelhead *Oncorhynchus mykiss* smolts of the same genetic origin. *Journal of Fish Biology* 65: 328-329.
- Garcia-Marin, J.L., N. Sanz, and C. Pla. 1998. Proportions of native and introduced brown trout in adjacent fished and unfished Spanish rivers. *Conservation Biology* 12: 313-319.

Glova, G.J. 1986. Interactions for food and spaces between experimental populations of juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and coastal cutthroat trout (*Salmo clarki*) in a laboratory stream. *Hydrobiologia* 131: 155-168.

Griffith, J.S.J. 1988. Review of competition between cutthroat trout and other salmonids. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 4: 134-140.

Harvey, B.C., and R.J. Nakamoto. 1996. Effects of steelhead density on growth of coho salmon in a small coastal California stream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 125: 237-243.

Hayes J.P., et. al. 1996. The genetic diversity of native, stocked, and hybrid populations of brook trout in the southern Appalachians. *Conservation Biology*. 10: 1403-1412.

Hayes, S.A., M.H. Bond, C.V. Hanson, and R.B. MacFarlane. 2004. Interactions between endangered wild and hatchery salmonids: can the pitfalls of artificial propagation be avoided in small coastal streams. *Journal of Fish Biology* 65 (Supplement A) 101-121.

Hearn, W.E. 1987. Interspecific competition and habitat segregation among stream-dwelling trout and salmon: a review. *Fisheries* 12: 24-31.

Herbold, B., and P.B. Moyle. 1986. Introduced species and vacant niches. *American Naturalist*. 128: 751-760.

Herbst, D.B., E.L. Silldorf, and S.D. Cooper. The influence of introduced trout on native aquatic invertebrate communities in a paired watershed study of high Sierran streams. Technical Completion Report. University of California Water Resources Center. University of California, Multi-Campus Research Unit.

Hitt, N.P, et. al. 2003. Spread of hybridization between native westslope cutthroat trout, Oncorhynchus clarki lewisi, and native rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 60: 1440-1451.

Jennings, M.R. 1996. Status of amphibians, pp. 921-944, *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. II. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis.

Jennings, W.B., D.F. Bradford, and D.F. Johnson. 1992. Dependence of the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans* on amphibians in the Sierra Nevada of California. *Journal of Herpetology* 26: 503-505.

Kiesecker, J.M., and A.R. Blaustein. 1998. Effects of introduced bullfrogs and smallmouth bass on microhabitat use, growth, and survival of native red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora*). *Conservation Biology* 12: 776-787.

Kiesecker, J.M., A.R. Blaustein, and C.L. Miller. 2001. Transfer of a pathogen from fish to amphibians. *Conservation Biology* 15: 1064-1070

Knapp, R.A. 1996. Non-native trout in natural lakes of the Sierra Nevada: An analysis of their distribution and impacts on native aquatic biota, pp. 363-407, *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. III. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis.

Knapp, R.A., and K.R. Matthews. 1998. Eradication of nonnative fish by gill netting from a small mountain lake in California. *Restoration Ecology* 6: 207-213.

Knapp, R.A., and K.R. Matthews. 2000. Non-native fish introductions and the decline of the mountain yellow-legged frog from within protected areas. Conservation Biology 14: 428-438.

Knapp, R.A., J.A. Garton, and O. Sarnelle. 2001. The use of egg shells to infer the historical presence of copepods in alpine lakes. *Journal of Paleolimnology* 25: 539-543.

Knapp, R.A., K.R. Matthews, and O. Sarnelle. 2001. Resistance and resilience of alpine lake fauna to fish introductions. *Ecological monographs* 71: 401-421.

Knapp, R.A., K.R. Matthews, H.K. Preisler, and R. Jellison. 2003. Developing probabilistic models to predict amphibian site occupancy in a patchy landscape. *Ecological Applications* 13: 1069:1082.

Knapp, R.A. 2004. Effects of nonnative fish and habitat characteristics on lentic herpetofauna in Yosemite National Park, U.S.A. *Biological Conservation* 121: 265-279.

Knapp, R.A., C.P. Hawkins, J. Ladau, and J.G. McClory. 2005. Fauna of Yosemite National Park lakes has low resistance but high resilience to fish introductions. *Ecological Applications* 15: 835-847.

Krueger, C.C., and B. May. 1991. Ecological and genetic effects of salmonid introductions in North America. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 48 (Supplement 1): 66-77.

Kruse, C.G. 1998. Influence of non-native trout and geomorphology on distributions of indigenous trout in the Yellowstone River drainage of Wyoming. Ph.D., University of Wyoming, Laramie.

Larson, G.L., and S.E. Moore. 1985. Encroachment of exotic rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) into stream populations of native brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) in the southern Appalachian mountains (USA). *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 114: 195-203.

Leary, R.F., F.W. Allendorf, and S.H. Forbes. 1993. Conservation genetics of bull trout in the Columbia and Klamath River drainages. *Conservation Biology* 7: 856-865.

Leavitt, P.R., D.E. Schindler, A.J. Paul, A.K. Hardie, and D.W. Schindler. 1994. Fossil pigment records of phytoplankton in trout-stocked alpine lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic* Sciences 51: 2411-2433.

Levin, P.S., and J.G. Williams. 2002. Interspecific effects of artificially propagated fish: an additional conservation risk for salmon. *Conservation Biology* 16: 1581-1587.

Leyse, K.E. Intentional introductions and biodiversity in fishless waters: the effects of introduced fish on native aquatic species. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California at Davis. Davis, CA.

Lohr, S.C., and J.L. West. 1992. Microhabitat selection by brook and rainbow trout in a southern Appalachian stream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 121: 729-736.

Lynch, M., and M. O' Hely. 2001. Captive breeding and the genetic fitness of native populations. *Conservation Genetics* 2: 363-378.

Magnan, P. 1988. Interactions between brook charr, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, and nonsalmonid species: ecological shirt, morphological shift, and their impact on zooplankton communities. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 45: 999-1009.

Magoulick, D.D. 1994. Interspecific competition between native brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) and introduced rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in streams. Ph.D., University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh.

Magoulick, D.D., and M.A. Wilzbach. 1998. Are native brook charr and introduced rainbow trout differentially adapted to upstream and downstream reaches? *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 7: 167-175.

Marchand, F., and D. Boisclair. 1998. Influence of fish density on the energy allocation pattern of juvenile brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55: 796-805.

Matthews, K.R., K.L. Pope, H.K. Preisler, and R.K. Knapp. 2001. Effects of nonnative trout on Pacific treefrogs (Hyla regalia) in the Sierra Nevada. *Copeia* 4: 1130-1137.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 18 of 24

Matthews, K.R., R.A. Knapp, K.L. Pope. 2002. Garter snake distributions in high elevation aquatic ecosystems: Is there a link with declining amphibian populations and nonnative trout introductions? *Journal of Herpetology* 36: 16-22.

McLean, J.E., P. Bentzen, and T.P. Quinn. 2005. Nonrandom, size- and timing-based breeding in a hatchery population of steelhead trout. *Conservation Biology* 19: 446-454.

McMichael, G.A. *et. al.* 2000. Sustainable Fisheries Management: Pacific Salmon. Lewis Publishers.

Meffe, G.K. 1992. Techno-arrogance and halfway technologies: salmon hatcheries on the Pacific Coast of North America. *Conservation Biology* 6: 350-354.

Miller, L.M., T. Close, and A.R. Kapuscinski. 2004. Lower fitness of hatchery and hybrid rainbow trout compared to naturalized populations in Lake Superior tributaries. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 3379-3388.

Modin, J. 1998. Whirling disease in California: a review of its history, distribution, and impacts, 1965-1997. *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health* 10: 132-142.

Moyle, P.B., and P.J. Randall. 1996. Biotic integrity of watersheds, pp. 975-1008, *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. II. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis.

Moyle, P.B., R.M. Yoshiyama, and R.A. Knapp. 1996. Status of fish and fisheries, pp. 953-973, *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. II. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis.

Moyle, P.B. 1996. Status of aquatic habitat types, pp. 945-952, *In*: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. II. Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, University of California, Davis.

Moyle, P.B., and P.J. Randall. 1998. Evaluating the biotic integrity of watersheds in the Sierra Nevada, California. *Conservation Biology* 12: 1318-1326.

Nagel, J.W. 1991. Is the decline of brook trout in the southern Appalachians resulting from competitive exclusion and/or extinction due to habitat fragmentation? *Journal of the Tennessee Academy of Science* 66: 141-144.

Nakano, S., et. al. 1998. Competitive interactions for foraging microhabitat among introduced brook charr, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, and native bull charr, *S. confluentus*, and westslope cutthroat trout, *Oncorhychus clarki lewisi*, in a Montana stream. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 52: 345-355.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 19 of 24

Novinger, D.C., and F.J. Rahel. 2003. Isolation management with artificial barriers as a conservation strategy for cutthroat trout in headwater streams. *Conservation Biology* 17: 772-781.

PFMC. 1994. Klamath River fall Chinook review team report: an assessment of the status of the fall Chinook stock as required under the Salmon Fisheries Management Plan. Pacific Fisheries Management Council. Portland, OR. 20pp.

PWA. 1994. Action plan for restoration of the South Fork Trinity River watershed and its fisheries: prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation and the Trinity River Task Force. Contract No. 2-CS-20-01100. Pacific Watershed Associates. Arcata, CA.

Reimers, N. 1958. Conditions of existence, growth, and longevity of brook trout in a small, high altitude lake o the eastern Sierra Nevada. *California Fish and Game* 44: 319-333.

Sarnelle, O., and R.A. Knapp. 2004. Zooplankton recovery after fish removal: limitations in the egg bank. *Limnology and Oceanography* 49: 1382-1392.

Seale, D.B. 1980. Influence of amphibian larvae on primary production, nutrient flux, and competition in a pond ecosystem. *Ecology* 61: 1531-1550.

Schindler, D.E., R.A. Knapp, and P.R. Leavitt. 2001. Alteration of nutrient cycles and algal production resulting from fish introductions into mountain lakes. *Ecosystems* 4: 308-321.

Stoddard, J.L. 1987. Microcrustacean communities of high-elevation lakes in the Sierra Nevada, California. *Journal of Plankton Research* 9: 631-650.

USFWS 1978. Listing of the Little Kern golden trout as a threatened species with critical habitat. Final Rule. Fed. Reg. 15427-15429. United States Fish and Wildlife Service

USFWS. 1994. Lahontan cutthroat trout, *Oncorynchus clarki henshawi*, Recovery Plan. United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Portland, OR. 147 pp.

USFWS. 2004. Revised Recovery Plan for the Paiute cutthroat trout (*Oncorynchus clarki seleniris*). United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Portland, OR. 105 pp.

Wang, J., and Ryman, N. 2001. Genetic effects of multiple generations of supportive breeding. Conservation Biology 15: 1619-1631.

Weiss, S. and S. Schmutz. 1999. Response of resident brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L., and rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), to the stocking of hatchery-reared brown trout. Fisheries Management and Ecology 6: 365-370.

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 20 of 24

Welch, H., K.L. Pope, and D. Boiano. 2006. Sub-alpine amphibian distributions related to species palatability to non-native salmonids in the Klamath Mountains of northern California. Diversity and Distributions 12: 298-309.

Witty, K.L., and S.P. Cramer. 2001. Impacts of hatchery rainbow trout on naturally produced Chinook salmon and winter steelhead in the Upper Willamette River Basin. S.P. Cramer and Associates. Gresham, OR.

# APPENDIX 1: WATERS IDENTIFIED AS BEING STOCKED BY DFG IN 2005 WHERE THERE ARE RECORDS OF SENSITIVE SPECIES IN THE CALIFORNIA NATURAL DIVERSITY DATABASE

| County       | Water body                                  | Scientific name                     | Common name                      |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Alpine       | Carson River East Fork                      | Oncorhynchus clarkii henshawi       | Lahontan cutthroat trout         |
| Butte        | Butte Creek, Big                            | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run | spring-run chinook salmon        |
| Contra Costa | San Joaquin River                           | Archoplites interruptus             | Sacramento perch                 |
| Contra Costa | San Pablo Bay                               | Hypomesus transpacificus            | Delta smelt                      |
| Contra Costa | San Pablo Bay                               | Hypomesus transpacificus            | Delta smelt                      |
| El Dorado    | American River Silver Fork                  | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog      |
| El Dorado    | American River Silver Fork                  | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog      |
| El Dorado    | American River Silver Fork                  | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog      |
| El Dorado    | American River Silver Fork                  | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog      |
| El Dorado    | American River South Fork                   | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog      |
| Fresno       | Dinkey Creek                                | Bufo canorus                        | Yosemite toad                    |
| Inyo         | Baker Creek                                 | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog      |
| Inyo         | Big Pine Creek                              | Bufo canorus                        | Yosemite toad                    |
| Inyo         | Sheperds Creek                              | Hydromantes platycephalus           | Mount Lyell salamander           |
| Lake         | Cache Creek                                 | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog      |
| Lake         | Cache Creek                                 | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog      |
| Los Angeles  | Big Tujunga Creek, Lower                    | Gila orcutti                        | arroyo chub                      |
| Los Angeles  | Big Tujunga Creek, Lower                    | Rhinichthys osculus ssp. 3          | Santa Ana speckled dace          |
| Los Angeles  | Big Tujunga Creek, Lower                    | Rhinichthys osculus ssp. 3          | Santa Ana speckled dace          |
| Los Angeles  | Big Tujunga Creek, Lower                    | Catostomus santaanae                | Santa Ana sucker                 |
| Los Angeles  | Big Tujunga Creek, Upper                    | Bufo californicus                   | arroyo toad                      |
| Los Angeles  | Bouquet Canyon Creek                        | Gasterosteus aculeatus williamsoni  | unarmored threespine stickleback |
| Los Angeles  | Bouquet Canyon Creek                        | Gasterosteus aculeatus williamsoni  | unarmored threespine stickleback |
| Los Angeles  | Bouquet Canyon Creek Piru Creek, Frenchmans | Gasterosteus aculeatus williamsoni  | unarmored threespine stickleback |
| Los Angeles  | Flat<br>Piru Creek, Frenchmans              | Bufo californicus                   | arroyo toad                      |
| Los Angeles  | Flat<br>Piru Creek, Frenchmans              | Bufo californicus                   | arroyo toad                      |
| Los Angeles  | Flat<br>San Gabriel River West              | Catostomus santaanae                | Santa Ana sucker                 |
| Los Angeles  | Fork<br>San Gabriel River West              | Gila orcutti                        | arroyo chub                      |
| Los Angeles  | Fork  | Gila orcutti                        | arroyo chub                      |

|                   | 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   |                              |                             |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Los Angeles       | San Gabriel River West Fork | Rhinichthys osculus ssp. 3   | Santa Ana speckled dace     |
| LOS Angeles       | San Gabriel River West      | Trimientry's odedids 33p. 0  | Garita Aria Specifica dace  |
| Los Angeles       | Fork                        | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| Madera            | Willow Creek North Fork     | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Marin             | Walker Creek                | Rana aurora draytonii        | California red-legged frog  |
| Marin             | Walker Creek                | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Marin             | Walker Creek                | Lavinia symmetricus ssp. 2   | Tomales roach               |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Hydromantes brunus           | limestone salamander        |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Hydromantes brunus           | limestone salamander        |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Hydromantes brunus           | limestone salamander        |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Hydromantes brunus           | limestone salamander        |
| Mariposa          | Merced River, Section II    | Hydromantes brunus           | limestone salamander        |
| Mendocino         | Eel River                   | Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii | coast cutthroat trout       |
| Mendocino         | Eel River                   | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Mendocino         | Eel River                   | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Modoc             | Pit River South Fork        | Mylopharodon conocephalus    | hardhead                    |
| Modoc             | Pit River South Fork        | Lavinia symmetricus mitrulus | Pit roach                   |
| Mono              | Lee Vining Creek            | Rana muscosa                 | mountain yellow-legged frog |
| Orange            | Trabuco Creek               | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| Orange            | Trabuco Creek               | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| Plumas            | Feather River Middle Fork   | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Plumas            | Feather River Middle Fork   | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Plumas            | Spanish Creek               | Rana boylii                  | foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Plumas            | Spanish Creek               | Rana muscosa                 | mountain yellow-legged frog |
| Riverside         | Strawberry Creek            | Ensatina klauberi            | large-blotched salamander   |
| Sacramento        | Sacramento River            | Pogonichthys macrolepidotus  | Sacramento splittail        |
| San               | 0 5:                        | <b>6</b> 11                  |                             |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| San<br>Bernardino | Santa Ana River             | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| San               | Salita Alia Kivei           | Gila Orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| San               |                             |                              | andye enab                  |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Gila orcutti                 | arroyo chub                 |
| San               |                             |                              |                             |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Rhinichthys osculus ssp. 3   | Santa Ana speckled dace     |
| San               | 01- A D'                    | Ontroduce                    | 0-1-4-4                     |
| Bernardino<br>San | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| San               | Santa Ana River             | Catostorius santaanae        | Santa Ana Suckei            |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| San               |                             |                              |                             |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| San               |                             | _                            |                             |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |
| San               | Conto Ano Divisio           | Catastamus aanta =====       | Conto Ano overler           |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River             | Catostomus santaanae         | Santa Ana sucker            |

| San               |                                       |  |  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River                       | Catostomus santaanae                                   | Santa Ana sucker                             |
| San               |                                       |  |  |
| Bernardino        | Santa Ana River                       | Catostomus santaanae                                   | Santa Ana sucker                             |
| San Joaquin       | Mokelumne River                       | Pogonichthys macrolepidotus                            | Sacramento splittail                         |
| San Luis          | Nacionianta Divan Lavora              | Casa ( Casabianus) bananan dii                         |  |
| Obispo<br>Sonto   | Nacimiento River, Lower               | Spea (=Scaphiopus) hammondii                           | western spadefoot                            |
| Santa<br>Barbara  | Santa Ynez River                      | Bufo californicus                                      | arroyo toad                                  |
| Santa             | Garita Tricz River                    | Dato camornicas  | arroyo toad                                  |
| Barbara           | Santa Ynez River                      | Bufo californicus                                      | arroyo toad                                  |
| Santa             |                                       |  | •  |
| Barbara           | Santa Ynez River                      | Rana aurora draytonii                                  | California red-legged frog                   |
| Santa             |                                       |  | southern steelhead - southern                |
| Barbara           | Santa Ynez River                      | Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus                            | California esu                               |
| Santa             | Conto Vnoz Divor                      | Fugual agabiya nayabarrasi                             | tidougtor goby                               |
| Barbara<br>Shasta | Santa Ynez River                      | Eucyclogobius newberryi                                | tidewater goby<br>hardhead                   |
|                   | Burney Creek Lower                    | Mylopharodon conocephalus                              | Pit roach                                    |
| Shasta<br>Shasta  | Burney Creek Lower Burney Creek Lower | Lavinia symmetricus mitrulus Cottus asperrimus         |  |
| Shasta            | Clark Creek Lower                     | ·  | rough sculpin<br>hardhead                    |
| Shasta            | Clark Creek Lower                     | Mylopharodon conocephalus Lavinia symmetricus mitrulus | Pit roach                                    |
| Shasta            | Clark Creek Lower                     | Cottus asperrimus                                      |  |
| Shasta            | Clear Creek                           | Rana boylii  | rough sculpin<br>foothill yellow-legged frog |
| Shasta            | Clear Creek                           | Rana boylii<br>Rana boylii                             | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha winter run                    | chinook salmon winter run                    |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Rana boylii  | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Rana boylii  | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Rana boylii  | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Hydromantes shastae                                    | Shasta salamander                            |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run                    | spring-run chinook salmon                    |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Ascaphus truei   | western tailed frog                          |
| Shasta            | Sacramento River                      | Ascaphus truei   | western tailed frog                          |
| Sierra            | Yuba River North Fork                 | Rana boylii  | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| Siskiyou          | Butte Creek                           | Rana cascadae  | cascades frog                                |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii                           | coast cutthroat trout                        |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Plethodon elongatus                                    | Del Norte salamander                         |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Plethodon elongatus                                    | Del Norte salamander                         |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Plethodon elongatus                                    | Del Norte salamander                         |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Plethodon elongatus                                    | Del Norte salamander                         |
| Siskiyou          | Klamath River                         | Rana boylii  | foothill yellow-legged frog                  |
| •                 |                                       | •  | , 55 5                                       |

| 0: 1:      | 14 (1.5)                    |                                     |                               |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Catostomus snyderi                  | Klamath largescale sucker     |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Catostomus snyderi                  | Klamath largescale sucker     |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Deltistes luxatus                   | Lost River sucker             |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Rana aurora aurora                  | northern red-legged frog      |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Chasmistes brevirostris             | shortnose sucker              |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Plethodon stormi                    | Siskiyou Mountains salamander |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Rhyacotriton variegatus             | southern torrent salamander   |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Rhyacotriton variegatus             | southern torrent salamander   |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Rhyacotriton variegatus             | southern torrent salamander   |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Rhyacotriton variegatus             | southern torrent salamander   |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus         | summer-run steelhead trout    |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus         | summer-run steelhead trout    |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Ascaphus truei                      | western tailed frog           |
| Siskiyou   | Klamath River               | Ascaphus truei                      | western tailed frog           |
|            | Sacramento River South      |                                     |                               |
| Siskiyou   | Fork                        | Rana cascadae                       | cascades frog                 |
|            | Sacramento River South      |                                     |                               |
| Siskiyou   | Fork                        | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| 0: 1:      | Sacramento River South      | B                                   |                               |
| Siskiyou   | Fork                        | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Sonoma     | Dry Creek                   | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Sonoma     | Dry Creek                   | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Sonoma     | Dry Creek                   | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Sonoma     | Dry Creek                   | Hysterocarpus traski pomo           | Russian River tule perch      |
| Sonoma     | Ward Creek                  | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Sonoma     | Ward Creek                  | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Stanislaus | San Joaquin River           | Pogonichthys macrolepidotus         | Sacramento splittail          |
| Stanislaus | Tuolumne River              | Ambystoma californiense             | California tiger salamander   |
| Sutter     | Feather River               | Pogonichthys macrolepidotus         | Sacramento splittail          |
| Tehama     | Battle Creek North Fork     | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run | spring-run chinook salmon     |
| Tehama     | Battle Creek South Fork     | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Tehama     | Battle Creek South Fork     | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Tehama     | Battle Creek South Fork     | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Tehama     | Deer Creek                  | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Tehama     | Deer Creek                  | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run | spring-run chinook salmon     |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run | spring-run chinook salmon     |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha spring-run | spring-run chinook salmon     |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus         | summer-run steelhead trout    |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus         | summer-run steelhead trout    |
| Trinity    | Trinity River               | Ascaphus truei                      | western tailed frog           |
| Tulare     | Kern River South Fork       | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog   |
| Tulare     | Kern River South Fork       | Oncorhynchus mykiss aguabonita      | Volcano Creek golden trout    |
| Tulare     | Kern River South Fork       |                                     | _                             |
|            |                             | Oncorhynchus mykiss aguabonita      | Volcano Creek golden trout    |
| Tulare     | Kern River South Fork       | Oncorhynchus mykiss aguabonita      | Volcano Creek golden trout    |
| Tuolumne   | Deadman Creek               | Hydromantes platycephalus           | Mount Lyell salamander        |
| Tuolumne   | Deadman Creek               | Rana muscosa                        | mountain yellow-legged frog   |
| Tuolumne   | Stanislaus River South Fork | Rana boylii                         | foothill yellow-legged frog   |
|            |                             |                                     |                               |

Pacific Rivers Council August 31, 2005 Page 24 of 24

Yolo Sacramento River

Pogonichthys macrolepidotus

Sacramento splittail